

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,176	09/10/2003	Kenneth J. Muderlak	11758-212	7598
75	90 10/15/2004		EXAM	INER
Adam D. Airhart			NICOLAS, FREDERICK C	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60610			3754	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/15/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 / /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/660,176	MUDERLAK ET AL.
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this commission and	Frederick C. Nicolas	3754
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orresponaence adaress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Octoors</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 51-74 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 51-65,73 and 74 is/ar 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 66-70 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 71 and 72 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of or the original transfer of the original transfer of the original transfer of the original transfer or the original tr	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO 413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/10/2003. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Amendment

1. The preliminary amendment filed on 9/10/2003 and 1/15/2004 have been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 66-72 in the reply filed on 10/1/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all the claims together prove efficient and not place a serious burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because group I-IV of claimed invention are clearly not related in terms of their modes of operation, as described in the previous Office Action dated 6/25/2004. Due to unrelated features among the groups of claimed invention, which will require a separate search area for each group and thus, impose a burden in search and examination for the Examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. Claims 51-65,73-74 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 10/1/2004.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11

Application/Control Number: 10/660,176

Art Unit: 3754

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 66-70 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15-18 and 29-34 of U.S. Patent No. 6,467,651. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 15-18 and 29-34 of Patent No. 6,467,651 encompass all the limitations of the above noted claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 71-72 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,176

Art Unit: 3754

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Watrous 1,229,556, Lewis 6,142,342, Humpert et al. 6,003,170, Vincent et al. 6,202,980, Pilolla 5,595,216, Cretu-Petra RE37,888, Hanna 6,119,901 and Bloom et al. 6,085,784 disclose other types of fluid dispensing system.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (703)-305-6385. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y Mar, can be reached on 703-308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FN October 14, 2004

Frederick C. Nicolas

10/14/04

℃ P∉tent Examiner Art Unit 3754 Page 4